

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/686,298	10/15/2003	G. Gary Gochanour	GGG-10402/29	7109			
	1000		EXAMINER				
GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE,ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C PO BOX 7021							
TROY, MI 48	8007-7021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
•							

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Votific	eation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/686,298	GOCHANOUR,	GOCHANOUR, G. GARY			
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
		LAURA LEE	3724				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address			
The A	opeal Brief filed on <u>03 August 2007</u> is defective f	or failure to comply with	one or more provisions of	of 37 CFR 41.37.			
1205.0	oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this N	lotification, whichever is l	ee MPEP longer.			
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferer $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).	ons rendered by a court nces section of the brief	or the Board in the proce as an appendix thereto (eding 37 CFR			

TRACEY YOUNG
PATENT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST

5. Grounds of rejection to be reviwed on appeal, there seems to be a typo in US Patent Application No. 64,773532

10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Stephenson, please correct.